

Electronically Received 1/10/2023 01:52 PM

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

02/26/2024

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: T. Lewis Deputy

Nazo Koulloukian, SBN 263809
nazo@koullaw.com
KOUL LAW FIRM
3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Telephone: (213) 761-5484
Facsimile: (818) 561-3938

Sahag Majarian, Esq. SBN 146621
sahagii@aol.com
Garen Majarian, Esq. SBN 334104
garen@majarianlawgroup.com
MAJARIAN LAW GROUP, APC
18250 Ventura Blvd.
Tarzana, CA 91356
Telephone: (818) 609-0807
Facsimile: (818) 609-0892

Attorney for Plaintiff,
ERIKA NUNEZ, on behalf of
herself, all aggrieved employees, and the State
of California as a Private Attorneys General

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ERIKA NUNEZ, an individual, on behalf
of herself, all aggrieved employees, and the
State of California as a Private Attorneys
General,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANSIBLE GOVERNMENT SOLUTIONS
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
and DOES 1-50, inclusive;

Defendant.

) Case No.: 22STCV35081

) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
) MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
) APPROVAL

1
2 The Court has before it the Motion for Preliminary Approval brought by Plaintiff. After
3 reviewing the Motion for Preliminary Approval and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement
4 Agreement and Class Notice filed with the Court, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court
5 hereby finds and orders as follows:

6 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement memorialized in the
7 Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore meets the
8 requirements for preliminary approval.

9 2. The Court conditionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class:

10 All persons who are employed or have been employed by Ansible
11 in California as hourly, non-exempt workers with the job title
12 “phlebotomist” at any time between November 3, 2018, to
13 September 22, 2023.

14 3. The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the Class of California Class
15 Members meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil
16 Procedure in that: (1) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) there are questions
17 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
18 predominate over individual issues; (3) the Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the Class;
19 (4) the named Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the
20 Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
21 adjudication of the controversy.

22 4. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only ERIKA NUNEZ as class
23 representative.

24 5. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only Koul Law Firm and Majarian Law
25 Group, APC, as Class Counsel.

26 6. The Court appoints CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.

27 7. The parties are ordered to carry out the settlement according to the terms of the
28 Settlement Agreement.

1 8. The Court orders the following implementation schedule:

2 a. Deadline to mail notices to Class Members: ~~Tuesday, February 27, 2024~~, 2024.

3 b. Deadline for serving and filing Motion for Final Approval: ~~Monday, March 4, 2024~~
4 2024.

5 c. Final Approval Hearing: ~~Friday, March 8, 2024~~ at ~~10:00 a.m./p.m.~~


6 9. The Court approves the form and content of the Notice of the proposed settlement
7 which advises Class Members and Aggrieved Employees of the settlement terms, the preliminary
8 approval of the Settlement, and the scheduling of the Final Approval Hearing.

9 10. The Court finds that the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice
10 meet the requirements of due process, provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances,
11 and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12 11. The Notice is hereby found to be the best means practicable of providing notice
13 under the circumstances, and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the
14 class and representative action, proposed settlement, and the final approval hearing to all persons
15 affected by and/or authorized to participate in the settlement, in full compliance with due process
16 and the notice requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 877.6.

17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: 02/26/2024



21
22 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case No. 22STCV35081

Nunez v. Ansible Government Solutions LLC, et al.

I, IVETTE HERNANDEZ declare that I am a resident of or employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the entitled case. The name and address of my residence or business is KOUL LAW FIRM, 3435 Wilshire Blvd. Ste. 1710, Los Angeles, California 90010.

On November 8, 2023, I served the foregoing document described as:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

 X **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service **CASEANYWHERE**.

on the interested parties in this action by sending [] the original [or] [✓] a true copy thereof [✓] to interested parties as follows [or] [] as stated on the attached service list:

Steve B. Soltman Esq.
Steven S. Nimoy, Esq.
**SOLTMAN, LEVITT, FLAHERTY &
WATTLES LLP**
90 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Ste. 300
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
Telephone: (805) 497-7706
Facsimile: (805) 497-1147
ssoltman@slfesq.com
snimoy@slfesq.com

*Attorneys for Defendant, ANSIBLE
GOVERNMENT SOLUTIONS, LLC*

Sahag Majarian, Esq.
Garen Majarian, Esq.
MAJARIAN LAW GROUP, APC
18250 Ventura Blvd.
Tarzana, CA 91356
Telephone: (818) 609-0807
Facsimile: (818) 609-0892
sahagii@aol.com
garen@majarianlawgroup.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff, ERIKA NUNEZ, and
all putative class members*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this November 8, 2023, in Los Angeles, California.



IVETTE HERNANDEZ